

Cage-rearrangement of silsesquioxanes†

Eckhard Rikowski and Heinrich C. Marsmann*

Fachbereich Chemie und Chemietechnik, Universität-GH Paderborn Warburger Straße 100, 33098 Paderborn, Germany

(Received 19 November 1996; accepted 28 February 1997)

Abstraet--Deca- and dodeca-silsesquioxane cages were prepared by partial rearrangement of octa-silsesquioxanes, $R_8(SiO_{1.5})_8$ (I), to give species of the type $R_{10}(SiO_{1.5})_{10}$ (II) and $D_{2d}R_{12}(SiO_{1.5})_{12}$ (III). This rearrangement is catalysed by sodium acetate, sodium cyanate, sodium sulfite, sodium hydroxide and potassium carbonate in acetone as solvent. The mixture of $[Cl-(CH_2)_3]_8(SiO_{1.5})_8$ (Ic), $[Cl-(CH_2)_3]_{10}(SiO_{1.5})_{10}$ (IIc) and D_{2d} -[CI-(CH₂)₃]₁₂-(SiO_{1.5})₁₂ (IIIc) can be separated by NP-HPLC. The reaction was also studied in acetonitrile using sodium fluoride, sodium or potassium hexafluorosilicate and 18-crown-6 as catalysts. Simultaneous reaction of (Ic) and $[NCS-(CH_2)_3]_8(SiO_{1.5})_8$ (If) with sodium acetate in acetone leads to a mixture of octa-, deca- and dodeca-silsesquioxanes with a statistical distribution of the Cl(CH₂)₃- and NCS(CH₂)₃-side groups. The 298i NMR data of the synthesized silsesquioxanes have been collected. The shift data can be calculated following simple additivity rules. © 1997 Elsevier Science Ltd

Keywords: silsesquioxanes ; rearrangement; catalysts; NMR; HPLC.

Functionalized polyhedral siloxanes, also called silsesquioxanes, present themselves as models for the investigation of surface modified silica gels. Of the many possible polyhedral cage sizes and configurations only a few types are actually known as shown in reviews by Voronkov and Lavrent'yev [1] and by Calzaferri [2]. The widest variety in cage structures is found for the hydridosilsesquioxanes $(HSiO_{L,5})_n$, where polyhedra with $n = 8,10,12,14,16$ and 18 vertices have been isolated [2,3]. A similar range of polyhedral siloxanes has been observed in aqueous solutions of silicates by ^{29}Si NMR [4]. The cubic cage is favored in the case of the organosilsesquioxanes (Fig. 1). Because of their use as models of silicates, an easy way for the preparation of other cage sizes is desirable.

RESULTS

Under the influence of some catalysts it was possible to rearrange octa-silsesquioxanes to the greater cages deca- and dodeca-silsesquioxanes (Fig. 1). To exam. ine the effects of different silsesquioxanes, catalysts and solvents on the rearrangement several combinations of these parameters were studied. In acetone as a solvent, potassium carbonate (1), sodium hydroxide (2,5), sodium cyanate (3), sodium acetate (4) and sodium sulfite (6) proved to be effective as catalysts. There seems to be a correlation between the reactivity of the 3-X-propyl(octa-silsesquioxanes) to undergo the equilibrium reaction and the electronegativity of the substituent X [5]:

$$
X = H < I < C_6F_5 < Br < Cl < NCS
$$

The catalyst has to be adjusted to the silsesquioxane considered. A highly active catalyst can lead to illdefined polymers. The catalytic activity follows the progression :

$$
K_2CO_3
$$
 > NaOH(1.4 10⁻³ mol/l)
> NaOCN > CH₃COONa
 \approx NaOH(1.4 10⁻⁴ mol/l) > Na₂SO₃

The concentrations of the different cage sizes in the product mixture depend mainly on the organic part of the silsesquioxane. The average distributions of the cage sizes are shown in Table 1 and a 29Si NMR spectrum of a typical reaction product is shown in Fig. 2. The reaction is reversible. The rearrangement

t Dedicated to Professor Alois Hass on the occasion of his 65th birthday.

^{*} Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

 $I: O_h-R_8(SiO_{1.5})_8$

 $II: D_{5h}R_{10}(SiO_{1.5})_{10}$

of a deca-silsesquioxane (IIc) leads to the same distribution of cage sizes as starting from the octa-silsesquioxane. Other non-aqueous solvents such as diethylether, dichloromethane, dimethylsulfoxide and acetonitrile were also tested successfully as reaction media. The low solubility of the catalysts in these solvents requires a crown ether as a cocatalyst.

In some cases, the products of the rearrangement can be separated by normal phase HPLC. A chromatogram of a semipreparative separation is depicted in Fig. 3. It can be shown that the simultaneous

rearrangement of two differently substituted organoocta-silsesquioxanes leads to a complete scrambling of the siloxane building units of the cages and results in complex mixtures (Fig. 4). The ²⁹Si chemical shift data of the newly formed cage sizes can be reduced to the chemical shifts $\delta_{\rm I}$ of the corresponding octasilsesquioxanes with the help of the following equations:

$$
\delta_{\rm II} = 1.028\delta_{\rm I}
$$

for the deca-silsesquioxanes and

Table 1. Results of cage rearrangements of octa-silsesquioxanes

 $\mathbf I$

 $(%)$

41

88

23

64

88

18

51

82

90

96

85

92

93

28

93

97

18

48

 \mathbf{u}

55

12

60

36

12

56

49

18

10

 $\overline{\mathbf{4}}$

15

 $\bf 8$

 $\overline{7}$

61

 $\overline{7}$

 $\overline{\mathbf{3}}$

62

52

20

 \mathbf{Q}

7,8,9

7,8

IIf

$$
\delta_{\text{IIIA}} = 1.025 \delta_{\text{I}} \quad \delta_{\text{IIIB}} = 1.064 \delta_{\text{I}}
$$

EXPERIMENTAL

Instrumentation

"For silsesquioxane skeletons see Fig. 1.

 ${}^{b} 1 = K_2CO_3$, 2 = NaOH (1.4 10⁻³ mol/l), 3 = NaOCN, $4 = CH_3COONa$, $5 = NaOH (1.410^{-4} mol/l)$, $6 = Na_2SO_3$, 7 = $Na_2SiF_6 + 18$ -crown-6, $8 = K_2SiF_6 + 18$ -crown-6, $9 = NaF + 18$ -crown-6.

^c Averaged values.

Residue

 $a, C_2H_5 -$

b, $C_3H_7 - c$

c, $ClC_3H_6 -$

d, BrC_3H_6-

f, NCSC₃H₆ $-$ ^c

g, $C_6F_5C_3H_6 -$

e, $IC_3H_6 -$

h, C_4H_9-

i, C_5H_{11} –

j, C_7H_{15} –

k, $C_8H_{17} -$

1, C_9H_{19} –

m, $C_{10}H_{21}$ –

d, $BrC_3H_6 -$

f, NCSC₃H₆ $-$ ^c

g, $C_6F_5C_3H_6 - c$

e, $IC_3H_6 -$

 $Solvent = acetonitrile$ c, $ClC_3H_6 - 9$

Solvent = $acetone$

HPLC: Knauer, column: Merck Hibar RT 250-10 with Si 60 LiChrospher (5 μ m), sample loop: 500 μ l, mobile phase: hexane/chloroform (50/50 vol), flow rate 25 cm³/min. ²⁹Si NMR : Bruker AMX300, 59.625 MHz, pulse angle 37° , 20 s recovery time, resolution 0.4 Hz/point. Chemical shifts are relative to $(CH_3)_4Si$ and positive shifts are shifts to higher frequencies.

Fig. 2. ²⁹Si NMR spectrum of a reaction mixture of $(NCSC_3H_6)_8(SiO_{1.5})_8$ (If) in acetone with sodium sulfite as catalyst. For the abbreviations see Table 1.

of the deca-sil-

an eight-for a

of $ca -2$ ppm

Fig. 3. NP-HPLC chromatogram of the separation of $(CIC_3H_6)_n(SIO_{1.5})_n$ with $n = 8,10,12$. For the abbreviations see Table 1.

Fig. 4. ²⁹Si NMR spectrum of an equilibrium mixture of Ic and If. The roman numerals designate the cage sizes. (I: octa-II : deca-, III : dodecasilsesquioxane), the letters c and f, the side groups *CIC3H6-* and NCSC3H6-, respectively.

Table 2. ²⁹Si NMR chemical shift data [ppm] of some organosilsesquioxanes

Residue	I	п	\mathbf{H}^{a}	
			A	В
a, C ₂ H ₅ -	-65.71	-67.56	-67.53	- 69.79
$b, C, H, -$	-67.22	- 69.16		
c. ClC ₂ H ₆ –	-67.08	-68.97	-68.73	-71.37
d, $BrC2H6$ –	-67.41	-69.31		
e. IC $H_{\rm s}-$	-67.93	-69.85		
f, $NCSC_3H_6-$	-67.58	-69.56	-69.27	-72.07
g, $C_6F_5C_3H_6-$	-67.21	-69.11		
$H, CaHo -$	-66.81	-68.61		
i, $C_5H_{11} -$	-66.69	-68.61		
i, $C_2H_{15} -$	-66.63	-68.56		
k, C_sH_{12} –	-66.74	-68.68		
1. $C_9H_{19} -$	-66.69	-68.62		
$m, C_{10}H_{21} -$	-66.62	-68.55		

For silsesquioxane skeletons see Fig, 1.

Materials

The functionalized silsesquioxanes are already described in ref. [7].

Basic procedure 1.0.48 mmol of the silsesquioxane

was refluxed with 18.3 mmol of a catalyst in 70 cm^3 of dry acetone for 24 h. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue extracted with CH_2Cl_2 . The CH_2Cl_2 was distilled off, the residue dissolved in CDCl₃ and characterized by ²⁹Si NMR spectroscopy.

Basic procedure 2.0.48 mmol of the silsesquioxane, 18.3 mmol of the catalyst and 100 mg of 18-crown-6 were refluxed in 70 cm³ of dry acetonitrile for 1 d. The product was then treated as in procedure 1.

Acknowledgements--We thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for financial support and the Hills AG for a gift of chemicals.

REFERENCES

- 1. Voronkov, M. G. and Lavrent'yev, V. I., *Topics in Curr. Chem.* 1982, 102, 199,
- 2. Btirgi, H., Calzaferri, G., Herren, D., Zhdanov, A., *Chimia* 1991, 45, 3.
- 3. Agaskar, P. A., Klemperer, W. G., *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 1995, 229, 355.
- 4. Harris, R. K., Knight, *C. T. G., J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2,* 1983, 79, 1539.
- 5. Wells, P. R., *Progr. Phys. Org. Chem.* 1968, 6, 111.
- 6. Bertling, E., Marsmann, *H. C., Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* 1989, 578, 166.
- 7. Dittmar, U., Hendan, B. J., F16rke, U., Marsmann, *H. C., J. Organomet. Chem.* 1995, 489, 185.